Town of Ossining Board Legislative Session

May 18, 2021

Meeting Transcript

Video Recording of Meeting: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bel5AVm-SRg

Attendance: Supervisor Dana Levenberg, Councilmember Elizabeth Feldman, Councilmember Jackie G. Shaw, Councilmember Gregory Meyer, Councilmember Northern Wilcher, Municipal Attorney Christie Addona, Budget Officer Victoria Cafarelli, Tax Receiver Holly Perlowitz

- Dana Levenberg: [It] is in fact 7:30, so I'd like to say, good evening and
- welcome to the Town Board of the Town of Ossining work session for
- Tuesday, May 18, 2021. Please rise and join me for the pledge of
- 4 allegiance.
- 5 All: I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to
- the Republic for which it stands, one nation, under God, indivisible, with
- 7 liberty and justice for all.
- 8 Dana Levenberg: So first up I'm going to start with some announcements
- 9 and as many of you know polls are open until 9pm tonight at the Todd
- School and Ossining High School. On the ballot for Briarcliff there's a bond
- proposition related to infrastructure improvements, the school district
- budget, and candidates for the Board of Education. Ossining's ballots have
- a proposition to purchase a building adjacent to the current Ossining High
- School campus. Ossining voters will also cast votes for the school district
- 15 budget.
- 16 Elizabeth Feldman: [UNCLEAR].
- Dana Levenberg: Hi Liz, we can hear you. Public Library budget,
- candidates for the Board of Election, and candidates for the Library Board.
- Local elections are your chance to make your voice heard, so if you haven't
- done your research yet or voted, you only have a few more hours to do so,
- 21 please do take this opportunity to get out and vote. There has been some

- big news on the COVID front these past few days, if you haven't heard over
- 23 37% of the country is now vaccinated including 49% of Ossining residents
- 24 and 64% of Briarcliff Manor residents. We're off to an excellent start.
- Vaccines are now available to all residents 12 years old and up. The
- Ossining Volunteer Ambulance Corps OVAC is holding a pop up vaccine
- clinic today until 8pm. And will host another one on Thursday from 10am to
- 8pm. Walk ins are welcome, and you can also reserve your appointment
- time online. OVAC's a great place to get a vaccine if you're nervous or
- have any questions about the safety of that vaccine it's great to have a
- 31 friendly Ossining face at your vax appointment.
- Over 150 million people in the United States have had at least one dose of
- the vaccine and 196 of them did so at the Ossining train station pop up last
- week. So put on your calendar to call OVAC or your pharmacist or a
- healthcare provider, if you haven't yet gotten yours and get your questions
- 36 answered and sign up.
- There have been a lot of questions this week about when and where
- people are required to wear masks. New York state adopted the CDC has
- guidance yesterday, stating that vaccinated people no longer need to wear
- 40 masks in most settings. If you are unvaccinated or have an underlying
- immune condition you're still required to wear a mask. Of course, everyone
- is still required to mask up in schools, hospitals, on public transit, and a few
- other communal settings. But I do want to stress one area in particular,
- which is that businesses can require masks in their establishments. This is
- the right of the businesses, so please be respectful of their requests.
- Town and Village offices at 16 Croton Avenue and the John Paul
- Rodriguez Operation Center will be expanding in person office hours for the
- public starting June 1 and will remain open from 9am to 4:30pm which is
- two hours additional from what we currently have. We are still requiring
- visitors and employees to wear masks in shared spaces like hallways,
- restrooms, and counters. And visitors will be limited to conducting business
- on the first floor of 16 Croton Avenue. All Town departments at these
- locations will be available to visitors during these hours, but if you require a
- more detailed or lengthy visit, please call the departments in advance to
- 55 make an appointment or to conduct a meeting virtually. Thank you for your

- cooperation through this entire pandemic, as we do all of our very best now
- to remain accessible and safe during these changing times.
- And now back to a little fun. This week is Hudson Valley Restaurant Week
- so call ahead and find out which of your favorite spots are offering great
- meals and deals. Our restaurants, like many of our small businesses, had a
- very rough 2020, as we all know, so please support our local gems talk
- them up to friends and leave them positive reviews online. Also today we
- met we had the launch of the mid-Hudson Region Envir... Environmental
- Development Corporation? Oh now I can't even remember... Economic
- Development Corp Corporation, this is all the regions have different local
- regions. We're in the mid-Hudson region, and this was the launch of the big
- grants from New York State. They skipped them pretty much last year and
- they're open up this year. I think they're all the applications for these grants
- are due at the end of July. Except there are some will be rolling, so we will
- be talking more about what opportunities we have ahead of us and which
- grants we will be applying for in the not too distant future.
- Finally, I am going to repeat an announcement from last week, this is for
- residents on McCarthy Drive and surrounding streets. This week the
- Town's contractor for the road rehabilitation project at McCarthy began to
- prepare the site for construction. The Town has been in touch with most of
- the impact of neighbors and via an email distribution list with more specific
- updates and also our project leader Paul Fraioli was out there today the
- project and yesterday and the project team held a zoom meeting with
- impacted residents last week. If you live on McCarthy Drive or the
- surrounding streets that are being impacted by the construction and would
- like to be on the distribution list, please contact my office by sending an
- email to <u>dlevenberg@townofossining.com</u>, or you can call us at 914-762-
- 83 6001 and we will add you to the list. Do my work colleagues have anything
- to add? We lost one of our Board colleagues. Is she in the....
- Victoria Cafarelli: I believe Councilwoman Feldman's having some Internet
- se connection problems.
- Dana Levenberg: Alright, well anybody else have anything that they wanted
- to add, there was I will say yesterday was Manny DaCruz Day in the
- Town of Ossining. Manny was testimonialized is that a thing? The
- testimonial dinner for that the Fire Department, he was the outgoing Chief,

- and that was supposed to take place in January. Maybe it was supposed to take place before that, but in January it didn't take place because of COVID and we were happy to sing his praises on Saturday night and and thank him for all the good work that he did for the Town which definitely involved in the Chief while we had some very large fires on Cedar Lane. Actually, a
- couple of them and then one even in the park. And I think he presided over
- at least a couple of those so we're very grateful for all of the fire department
- and certainly for the Chief and the outgoing Chief so thank you.
- And I'm just sort of dragging my feet a little hoping that Councilman
- Feldman can join us again. Is there anything else that we can talk about If
- not, I think we're going to go to our first item on the agenda, which is our
- wonderful Tax Receiver Holly Perlowitz with her departmental report.
- 103 Welcome Honorable Holly Perlowitz.
- Holly Perlowitz: Thank you Dana and thank you everybody for putting up
- with the discussion of taxes, I know it's not always the most popular
- subject, but I have great news. The April Town/county tax collection went
- 107 extremely well.

108 [SCREENSHARE BEGINS]

- Holly Perlowitz: Thank you Victoria, sharing your screen with you right now,
- so the top line is the 2021 Town and County taxes. And you can see, as of
- today, we are at 97.8% of the warrant. Which is very, very good and our
- [UNCLEAR]. Town Council Feldman just came back up. So I'm glad. I
- started we started this without you. So I just wanted to say that our again
- our web usage was great and people are using it more and more, and
- which is terrific. And we also were open late, until seven o'clock on the last
- day. Collection was a Friday and we've sort of made the decision by talking
- to some of our taxpayers and figuring out the issues that when a tax
- collection ends on either Monday, Tuesday, or Wednesday we're going to
- stay open the Saturday before. But when it ends on a Thursday or Friday it
- doesn't make sense to do that because it's a full week before the tax is
- due. And it has a lot to do with when people get paid and get get you
- know government assistance and all that. So I I'm comfortable with the
- decision so Monday, Tuesday, and Wednesday when a collection ends
- we'll stay open on that Saturday before. On Thursday or Friday we won't.
- But we'll see always stay open late until seven o'clock on the last on the

last day. And we did get some activity that - that night as well. So that's it for the 2021 - and our delinquency letters just went out last week and I know that people are starting to call and there's a lot of, and I know we've all been through this once, you know 'oh my God I forgot.' And people are you know are saying that they're going to mail a check or they're going to pay online. So I think when I think the collection will just continue and will and will do okay.

Moving down and just going to the total 2020 warrants. Which are still, you 133 know, I consider them still active collections because it's not until July when 134 they will become liens. So we're continuing to collect and but, yet you can 135 see that we're 99.5% on the Town and County from the year before and 136 99% on the school. So again, I think we're doing well on the on that. And 137 these - these ones to 2020 warrants I just want to repeat it again will 138 become liens - 2021 leans in July. So in June I'll send out one more sort of 139 'okay, please, please pay' when sort of letter and anyone who hasn't and 140 then it turns into a lien. 141

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

The next group are all the delinquent tax liens and I wanted to identify the number of properties associated with each year, so you can see that they're become less than less. And I can also say that one of my personal things that I look for every month is to make sure that we're at least doing some collections on the on the tax liens every month. And we are, we always - always are. We still have 11 active payment plans, it's really nine taxpayers. Because one has a couple of - has three - three properties. But we've also had a four of the payment plans pay off successfully so far this year so that's again something that I think is - is working really well. I wanted to mention that if you just sort of focus on the 2020 liens it started out that we had 133 properties. So you can see that the 2020 lien, which is about 60% of our total leans. You know it's - it's less than half less than half - it's that's you know the expectation I have is that about 60% of them will we will get paid on before the end of the year, and you know people call and I understand you know, some people just - just can't we do have two large commercial properties in that 2020 lien. Paradise Oil and Red - Red Line Apartments which have liens both in 2020 and 2019. And I'm not getting a lot of communication about those. So we'll - we'll see. Anyway, so I guess the - the takeaways to summarize all these numbers, the takeaways is - is that our collection for the 2021 Town and County tax

warrant went really well. I actually spoke with a whole bunch of our Tax 162 Receivers in the County today at the Westchester Tax - the tax receivers 163 and collectors meeting and you know there's some Towns did - did well 164 some better than others, but mostly everybody feels like things are starting 165 to just feel a little bit more back to normal. People have new procedures in 166 place and everyone sort of settling in. But I'm happy for us because I think 167 we did okay on that. Again chipping away at the liens from the last from the 168 last time that I spoke with you, we did get payment on the Research Center 169 and that was about a half million dollars so we're down considerably on 170 that. And I'll take any questions that you may have, I also wanted to you 171 know, to say that, you know, on a continuing basis, I like to have a dialogue 172 with our taxpayers and just get a sense of anything that would make - make 173 things easier for them, and I am getting you know, good feedback on the 174 email communication that I have as a reminder. And it's the, you know, it's -175 it's really the only thing I have to respond to people when they call and say 176 'oh, my God I didn't pay late, but I paid I paid on - on my God I forgotten I 177 paid late, but I paid for the last 40 years I've been in this House can't you 178 waive the penalty,' and you know, obviously I can't. But to sign them up for 179 the email reminders is always a way to just assure that this won't happen 180 again. And I am also seeing that and - and I relate very much because I'm 181 the mother of a 92 year old, there are a lot of kids who were taking over the 182 payments for their parents. And they're out of State, so they are so 183 delighted to have this as a - an option for them as well. But you know 184 talking to our taxpayers is something that I enjoy doing and I think that it's -185 it's always a way of trying to improve the process in any way that we can to 186 make things easier. And taxes is not a very exciting subject to most, 187 although I - I shared with Victoria this morning, it shocks me because I find 188 it very exciting. But anyway, any questions from anybody anything you -189 Dana Levenberg: Do you want to get a bit of an update as to where we 190

stand with the auction? I think we're going to actually get to go to option on a couple of properties.

Holly Perlowitz: Yes. Yes, right now, it's one property. And Christie is has I guess the last - Christie wants to jump in but the last rendition of the agreement has to go back out to Malts - the people who are going to manage it - and I'm hoping, they said that they need, you know just three or

- three weeks of lead time. So once we get the agreement we should be good to go. Christie did you want to add anything?
- 199 Christie Addona: No, I mean we we had had some discussions internally
- about what that would look like in the current landscape with COVID. I think
- the goal was to do them Malts offers to do it electronically. So that's
- something that the Town hasn't done in the past, I believe. They've usually
- been held in person, so that would be different. It would also allow for a lot
- more advertising so that you get as many people informed as possible.
- They're an established company, this is what they do so, they would be
- able to reach out to all of their networks. So you know, hopefully, that would
- also be a benefit. And this is something that that the Town would recoup all
- of the costs through the sale of the property. So there's there's no
- 209 downside to the Town from doing this.
- Dana Levenberg: Are there any questions from my Board Colleagues?
- 211 Besides just 'thank you Holly' for robust collection and thank you to the
- taxpayers for stepping up even in this very difficult time, you know how
- hard it is. We've all been struggling and you know, obviously, things are
- coming back now, which is great news, but that doesn't mean that there
- aren't pieces to pick up from the whole entire year. So we're very grateful
- for able to make their payments and working with with Holly if, in fact, you
- know you'd have to deal with any kind of late payment. So does anybody
- else want to say anything?
- 219 Elizabeth Feldman: I've had a few residents come and tell me how lovely
- she was to work with. And a couple of that we're very happy with Patti in
- her office as well. They had questions, you know Holly and Patti were both
- helpful informative and I've gotten a lot of positive feedback in the last
- couple months, so thank you.
- Holly Perlowitz: Thanks, thank you.
- Dana Levenberg: Always. Yeah.
- 226 Holly Perlowitz: [UNCLEAR].
- 227 Christie Addona: Sorry, just one more thing on the auction just to make it
- clear. These are related to default on the tax payments that significantly
- predate COVID.

- 230 Dana Levenberg: Absolutely, yes that's very -
- 231 Christie Addona: and there's current legislation and effects that regulates
- what can be done now, with respect to foreclosures. So just for the Board
- 233 and the public's information.
- Dana Levenberg: Very important.
- Holly Perlowitz: Thank you, thank you.
- Dana Levenberg: Anybody else have anything or wonderful tax receiver?
- Northern Wilcher: Just to thank you.
- Dana Levenberg: We'll take them.
- Holly Perlowitz: My pleasure, I I really love, what I do.
- Northern Wilcher: Well I'm glad.
- Holly Perlowitz: Crazy as it is.
- Northern Wilcher: Then its not a problem to you.
- 243 Holly Perlowitz: Now that at all. Not not at all. We and we really live in a
- wonderful community. People are really, really wonderful here.
- 245 Dana Levenberg: Thank you.
- 246 Holly Perlowitz: Glad I settled here.
- Dana Levenberg: We are too. Okay well without further ado, in that case I
- think we're going to go on to the next topic on our Work Session agenda,
- which is to talk a little bit about the recommendation coming for the
- Comprehensive Plan Steering Committee on communicating the
- Comprehensive Plan Goals and process of which by which we do those to
- the Town Land Use Boards and the Town Board. As the Town is in the
- process of developing a new Comprehensive Plan. We have heard from
- some residents asking if the Town would consider adopting a moratorium
- as plan has been completed. This is a complex issue and a decision that
- the Board does not take lightly. So, at the beginning of the year, the Town
- Board requested that the Comprehensive Plan Steering Committee with
- guidance from experts at Pace Land Use Law Center consider the question
- of adopting a moratorium and come back to the Town Board with a

recommendation. The Steering Committee participated in a learning 260 session with Professor John Nolan from Pace Land Use Law Center in 261 February and based upon what they learned considered this question and 262 presented the Town Board the letter, a few weeks ago with their 263 recommendations. Although the Steering Committee did not come to a 264 consensus on implementing a full scale moratorium, they did recommend 265 that the Town Board direct the Town's Land Use Boards to take in consider 266 - into consideration goals recommendations and strategies presented in the 267 current draft of the Comprehensive Plan to the extent that they have been 268 269 released to the public when deliberating over land use decisions. Additionally, should a moratorium be considered by the Town Board the 270 Steering Committee recommended it be limited to development 271 applications that were seeking additional density beyond what is currently 272 permitted under the existing zoning. The Town Board and our Counsel to 273 the Town Christie Tomm Addona, have had an opportunity to review the 274 recommendations. From the Steering Committee and tonight we will be 275 discussing these recommendations, and I think I speak for the entire Board 276 when I say that we very much appreciate the Steering Committee's 277 thoughtful deliberation and continued volunteerism on behalf of Town 278 during the development of the Comprehensive Plan. Before I open it up for 279 280 the Board for the discussion we do have our Town planner Valerie Monastra from Nelson Pope Vorhees here with us tonight, who helped to 281 facilitate the Steering Committee is discussions on this topic. Valerie do 282 you have anything to add? 283 Valerie Monastra: Well I'm happy to summarize or read the paragraph on 284

the Steering Committee's letter, if you'd like. Or if you'd like to just begin the 285 discussion we can do that as well, I know the Steering Committee itself 286 they really did take a long hard look at this particular topic. And while they 287 were not able to come to a full consensus of moratorium, they did have 288 some additional recommendations specifically dealing with - if the Board 289 were to consider moratorium what their recommendation would be in the 290 limitations of the moratorium. But also, in addition, I think the 291 Comprehensive Plan Committee really one had concerns with the Town 292 Board expressing to the other land use Boards. To take into consideration 293 as much as possible the draft – any draft goals or recommendations or 294 strategies as they look at the various land use applications before the 295

- Boards. Especially in consideration that ultimately the month of
- 297 Comprehensive Plan is adopted on our projects within the Town will need
- to be consistent with the Comprehensive Plan.
- Dana Levenberg: Right. I mean, I think we both summarized the letter, but
- if you would like to read it, I you know I think we kind of just both said the
- same thing, but go ahead and just read -
- Valerie Monastra: I don't know if you want to do me to read the exact the
- letter itself. It's short and then that way its [UNCLEAR]-
- 304 Dana Levenberg: Sure. Yeah.
- Valerie Monastra: Okay. So, based upon comments received by the public
- and concerns raised by the Comprehensive Plan Committee regarding the
- need for moratorium on development until the Comprehensive Plan
- processes concluded. The Town were requested a comprehensive
- planning committee to provide a recommendation on the subject. The
- Comprehensive Planning Committee met with Joan John Nolan a
- distinguished Professor of Law from Pace University for a question and
- answer session to learn about a moratorium, it's use within local
- government, the adoption process, and legal requirements. The committee
- debated and contemplated, the issue of the development moratorium and
- could not come to a consensus on a recommendation for the Town to
- adopt a full scale moratorium. However, the Committee did find consensus
- on the following recommendation: If the Town Board were to consider a
- moratorium that it be limited to development applications seeking additional
- density beyond what is currently submitted under the existing zoning. The
- 320 Committee recommends that the Town Board directs the Town's land use
- Boards to take into consideration the goals, recommendations, and
- strategies presented in the current drafts of the Comprehensive Plan to the
- extent that they have been released the public when deliberating land use
- approval decisions. The current draft goals well 'were' attached to this
- letter and they're also actually I believe they're posted on on the Town's
- website as well. And the Comprehensive Planning Committee appreciates
- the opportunity to weigh in on this complex issue and we'd be happy to
- further discuss our thoughts in the matter with the Town Board.
- Dana Levenberg: Alrighty, so to kick it off. Would anybody like to comment
- on what your thoughts are on the subject?

- 331 [SILENCE]
- Elizabeth Feldman: [coughs] Sorry.
- Dana Levenberg: We have a couple options. I think that they're they're
- both interesting. I just in terms of timing do you want to talk a little bit
- about timing for the Comprehensive Plan and a little bit about Valerie
- maybe why you think the there wasn't consensus on the moratorium
- 337 recommendation.
- Valerie Monastra: So I think maybe I think it would be before we go into
- timing on the Comprehensive Plan I think it'd be helpful for the public and
- maybe the Town Board for Christie to walk you know the Town Board
- through the process of adoption of a moratorium, and then we can talk
- about how that right dovetail into the Comprehensive Plan process.
- Dana Levenberg: Alright.
- Elizabeth Feldman: I think it's worth taking a look at. A moratorium in the
- properties that or, at least in the cases where it's going to go density
- beyond. I agree with that. Or at least I'd like to see what that looks like.
- Dana Levenberg: Alright, so Christie, did you want to take us through what
- it would would adopting a moratorium would look like -
- Christie Addona: Sure, so... I mean I could also we'll talk about the
- moratorium, but then we can come back to the to the committee's other
- 351 recommendation.
- 352 Dana Levenberg: Sure.
- Christie Addona: As far as a moratorium, this would have to be done by
- local law, you would basically be amending the code temporarily to modify
- what is currently permitted pending an outcome of the Comp Plan adoption
- and then any zone texts amendments that may derive from what is in the
- Comp Plan. So it would have to be done by local law, which would have the
- law would have to be drafted, it have to be noticed, you would have to hold
- a public hearing. And it would also because it's a local law on a zoning
- amendment, it would have to be referred to the County Planning Board for
- them to review and weigh in on under General Municipal Law. So that's the
- process you're looking at as far as adopting this. So it's not just something
- where next week, you could just adopt a resolution, just for context. And

then there are certain substantive issues that would have to be discussed 364 365 and addressed by the Board prior to and then during the public hearing process to be included in the law. For instance, while it is reasonable and 366 it's pretty well established that review and of - the Comp Plan and potential 367 [UNCLEAR] text amendments are valid basis for a Comprehensive Plan, 368 excuse me for a moratorium, you have to do it for a reasonable period of 369 time. So you can't just say 'okay, for two years we're going to put this into 370 effect.' Generally, what we would see is maybe six to eight months, and 371 then you have to show that you're continuing to make progress in the 372 373 direction which is I guess where Valerie will come in in her discussion of the continuation of the Comprehensive Plan and any zoning texts 374 amendments that may come out of that. If you have to subsequently put 375 additional extensions of time, that's permissible. It would have to go 376 through the same process, it would also have to be done by local law. So 377 that's just something to keep in mind in terms of timing and how long it 378 would remain in effect than other aspects that would have to be 379 contemplated for inclusion and local law. Will current applications be 380 exempt? If not, can the process for those applications continue? They just 381 cannot get approvals, while the moratorium remains in effect. The Board 382 did do a moratorium, a few years ago. So I believe it did exempt current 383 384 applications, but we could go back and take a look at what was done in that instance. Generally, you would include a provision for a process to get a 385 hardship waiver for property owners or developers who may be in - have 386 unique circumstances, for some reason or another, to try to obtain relief 387 from the moratorium. I believe this was also in the last one, but I'll double 388 check that and that's - that's a pretty standard provision to include. 389 Because a moratorium does implicate constitutional property rights. So 390 that's something to consider as well, and then the issue that came up in in 391 the context of the Comp Plan Committee's recommendation is 'what do you 392 actually want to be included in the moratorium.' And so that's obviously 393 something that would have to be spelled out pretty clearly in the local law 394 itself. If - if density is a concern there, there are a lot of ways to increase 395 density under the current zoning codes so. You know you have an 396 accessory apartment law, you have subdivision regulations, you can do 397 zoning text moments or rezoning so there's - there's a lot of aspects of that. 398 And so we would need to talk about what it would look like if that's the 399 issue of what what's going to be included to address that. 400

- Dana Levenberg: Okay.
- 402 Christie Addona: So typically there's a lot there you don't just you don't just
- get up one day and say you know moratorium there's there's a lot that has
- 404 to go into it.
- 405 Dana Levenberg: Got it.
- Jackie Shaw: Christie, could you just give us an idea of what the timing
- would look like? Is it a month, is it two months, if you were if we were going
- to do something like that? Just an idea?
- Christie Addona: um. I'd say at the earliest your your second legislative
- session in June, possibly into July. By -
- Elizabeth Feldman: So it would be a similar process, the one we went
- through a few years ago.
- 413 Christie Addona: [UNCLEAR]. I'm sorry.
- Elizabeth Feldman: It would be a similar process to the one we went
- through a few years ago?
- Christie Addona: Yeah and it's a similar process to really any local law that
- you do. You know you something's drafted, I mean generally you look at it
- at a work session. We make changes, if there are any changes to be made,
- notice the public hearing, send it out for GML review, and then, and then
- tear with the public has to say and then, if there are any changes that
- needs to be made based upon what the public says.
- Elizabeth Feldman: And one of the reasons I'm leaning toward a
- moratorium. Is because I always feel not so good telling another Board
- what to do so. I'm always leery when you know the Town Board is
- suggesting another Board make a decision, or I mean, can you talk about
- 426 that?
- Christie Addona: It are you saying in the context of the other
- 428 recommendation that came -
- Elizabeth Feldman: Right.
- Christie Addona: Sure. I don't think it's necessarily a bad idea for the Land
- Use Boards, I mean, I think, at this point they they are mostly aware of it,

but for land use Boards and the public and applicants to know that this 432 process is happening, because it - and Valerie will get into it when - when 433 we talk about which talks about the timing of the Comp Plan. But if this is 434 going to be done in the next few months, it's likely that an applicant that is 435 currently before any of the Law Use Boards or may become - becoming 436 before landing imminently is going to be bound by whatever zoning texts 437 amendments may come out of the Comprehensive Plan. Unless you're 438 vested in the prior zoning - vested is like the legal concept - and being 439 vested is a very stringent and difficult standard. Its substantial construction 440 and substantial completion. So just getting an approval, even just getting a 441 building permit is usually not enough to vest you. So it wouldn't be to the 442 benefit of the Land Use Boards. And the applicants to be aware of this and 443 taking into consideration, because it doesn't benefit anyone to spend time 444 and effort going through these reviews only to then down the line, have the 445 application no longer comply with zoning, based upon amendments that 446 were derived from the Comp Plan. 447

Dana Levenberg: But you're saying that with that's true without having a moratorium in place. That just the Land Use Boards should be aware that we were in the process of overhaul in our Comp Plan - are developing a new Comprehensive Plan - and therefore the direction should be such that the applicants are taking, taking into account what is going on in in public, with the Comprehensive Plan.

Christie Addona: I think it would be to their benefits do that and I don't think there would be anything wrong with - with telling - with making applicants and the Boards aware of that. But it - until it's actually in the zoning it's yeah there's nothing to specifically enforce it. Its more keeping in mind what could come out of the - the Comp Plan in the way of zoning that could render these projects down the line, potentially, no longer conforming. But yes that's - that's true, regardless of whether you were to put a moratorium into effect. That's just how I see the other avenue that was proposed by the Comp Plan Committee - excuse me - potentially being beneficial for - for the overall process that's that would be happening before Land Use Boards right now.

Dana Levenberg: Got it.

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

- Elizabeth Feldman: I just don't want to see somebody going down, you
- know, going down a road and and surprised that, if we change the zoning
- or have any legal reason to complain that 'oh, you know, I was doing this
- and you change the zoning to that.' I mean they they should be aware, I
- mean, whatever legal means and if the moratorium is that we make them
- aware, then you know that the things going forward until this is processes
- done or the zoning will be potentially changed and that they should be very
- well aware of that so there's no surprises later.
- 474 Dana Levenberg: Valerie -
- Jackie Shaw: Since we're in the I'm sorry go ahead, Dana.
- Dana Levenberg: No, I was just gonna ask Valerie to talk a little bit about
- the timing now for the Comp Plan so we could talk about like sort of see
- 478 how the two are aligning right? The Comp Plan versus the timing for to put
- in place a moratorium. Jackie, I thought that was where you were going but
- 480 I'm not sure.
- Jackie Shaw: That's where I was going.
- Valerie Monastra: Okay, so we have there are right now there are draft
- overarching goals that have been put forth in the public. And the
- Comprehensive Plan consultants WXY are developing draft
- recommendations and objectives. And those are anticipated to the draft is
- to be released within like the next week to the internal for internal review
- from the Steering Committee. And then will ultimately be released to the
- public, within the next few weeks. I believe sometime in June. From there,
- once we have the draft goals, then there'll be finishing up the actual and
- draft, excuse me, draft recommendations and objectives we'll be finishing
- up the Comprehensive Plan itself. And once the Comprehensive Plan is
- completed at that point, the Town Board is going to make determinations
- as to what, if any zoning recommendations, you will like to pursue at that
- point. It is not anticipated at this point in time to have zoning changes take
- place, along with the Comprehensive Plan adoption, but any zoning
- changes will take place afterwards and then there'll be done systematically
- based upon prioritization of the Town Board and what you're interested in
- pursuing. So that that will add on a number of months after the
- Comprehensive Plan process I would assume based on the trajectory that
- this Comprehensive Plan process is taking I would assume that a draft

- 501 Comprehensive Plan will be completed by the end of the summer
- beginning of fall, with adoption sometime in the fall.
- Dana Levenberg: Okay, so if in fact [what] Christie's saying is June/July, for
- when a moratorium would be in place. And then we're saying late summer
- early fall till we'd have the Comp Plan theoretically approved and adopted.
- At the earliest rate or at the latest or at sometime -
- Valerie Monastra: I feel comfortable with that. You know I think that's its
- 508 maybe give or take a month or so, but -
- Dana Levenberg: And then there's one other issue, which is the North
- 510 State Road recommendations which is kind of happening simultaneously.
- Looking at North State Road, and that's also been something that the
- 512 Steering Committee has been taking a look at simultaneously that would
- have some kind of zoning recommendations on [UNCLEAR].
- Valerie Monastra: Right, so those will ultimately have those will be zoning
- not like zoning recommendations but actual zoning for the Town Board to
- adopt. But again we're anticipating that coming following the
- 517 Comprehensive Plan process.
- Dana Levenberg: Okay. Okay, so now Councilman Shaw, did you want to
- say something in terms of timing?
- Jackie Shaw: Valerie pretty much covered it. I just think it's one of the
- issues I'm having with the moratorium is that we put it in and then we're
- done in say September so what's the point so that's what I'm kind of
- struggling with it. But you know Valerie pretty much covered timing-wise
- 524 and so did Christie.
- 525 Elizabeth Feldman: Right but we would have to then after we're done with
- the Comprehensive Plan start looking at the zoning changes which will take
- another four or five months, which brings us right to that six month window
- that Christie was talking about for a moratorium.
- Valerie Monastra: Right, and let me just touch [UNCLEAR] the one the
- zoning recommendations. So theoretically, you know we don't know at this
- point in time, what the zoning recommend what the final zoning
- recommendations are going to be. But I you know, based upon the overall
- goals and based upon where the community has you know the discussions

- we heard from the community. Unlike other Comprehensive Plan
- processes, I don't anticipate major wholesale changes to zoning coming
- from the Conference Plan process. I think, to some extent, for example,
- with North State Road there might be more of design and building form,
- you know recommend zoning recommendations that might come forth or
- some other recommendations that might look at certain or suggest looking
- at zoning districts, determine like tweaking some bulk regulations that type
- of thing. Unlike some other you know zoning you know other zoning
- recommendations that could come out of a Comprehensive Plan, which
- would be like create overlay districts or replace new you know zoning
- districts and I don't anticipate that necessarily at this point in time, based on
- everything we have heard from the public, so far, as well as seen
- throughout the public process That being said, we're still in the middle of a
- Comprehensive Plan so you know that is still, yeah that has not been
- finalized by any stretch of the means, but I'm just letting the Town Board
- know that is what I see so far coming through this Comprehensive Plan
- 550 process.
- Dana Levenberg: Council Councilman Meyer, did you have anything you
- wanted to say?
- Greg Meyer: Yeah I've gone back and forth on a bit and and, ultimately, I
- just don't think that it's necessary to have a moratorium at this point. You
- know, I understand your point Councilwoman Feldman, as far as applicants
- are concerned. But I think they should be aware that this process is
- ongoing and if they choose to proceed, they choose to proceed. But I don't
- think a moratorium is necessary.
- 559 Dana Levenberg: Councilman Wilcher?
- Northern Wilcher: No, I think you covered all of it. It's been covered with
- 561 **me**.
- 562 Dana Levenberg: Okay.
- Northern Wilcher: Thank you.
- Dana Levenberg: So... I am on the fence. I think I'm leaning sort of away
- from moratorium, because it doesn't seem like it makes a lot of sense in
- terms of the timing. I know that we spent a lot of time in a moratorium again
- earlier, you know what five years ago or so and I'm not sure that it achieved

- our goals, except for that it stopped a lot of applicants from proceeding on
- anything and maybe it wasn't narrowly enough drawn. My thought would be
- that we ask our professionals to perhaps draft something for us to take a
- look at. What could a very narrow moratorium look like? Maybe that's
- something that we could look at and see if it's something that we feel like
- 573 makes sense to maybe achieve something. But I'm also hearing that it
- sounds to me like the majority of the Board I'm thinking Councilman
- 575 Wilcher that you were not leaning in favor of moratorium. But I'm not
- 576 hundred percent sure, but it sounds to me like the Board is not in leaning
- in that direction, so I don't know what everybody thinks about just sort of
- taking a look at what a limited moratorium would even look like to consider.
- Is that something I don't even want to do?
- Jackie Shaw: I'm willing to take a look at it. Sure.
- Northern Wilcher: Yeah if I could see it, I think it'd be better. I'll take a look
- 582 at it.
- Dana Levenberg: Okay. That. Councilman Meyer, you're still opposed?
- You don't care? Like 'no, okay I don't.'
- 585 Greg Meyer: [UNLCEAR] think it's necessary. That's kind of my position so-
- Dana Levenberg: Right, and I mean, I have a feeling that that's again,
- where the Steering Committee was sort of on the fence and also in terms of
- just 'is it necessary? Is it really going to achieve the goals?' I mean I
- certainly think that it would be helpful to at the very least, to have a letter
- drafted that would address the former recommendation about you know,
- 591 giving direction to the land use boards in a way that is seems like it would
- be helpful. And actually achieved the goal that that that part of their
- recommendation was hoping to achieve so maybe we could look at both of
- those options and then come back and talk about them and see where we
- stand. Does that make sense to everybody?
- 596 Northern Wilcher: Good idea.
- Dana Levenberg: Okay, alright, fantastic. Okay, well, again I want to thank
- our comprehensive plan Steering Committee and also I'd like to thank
- 599 Christie and Valerie for all of your help guiding the Board in this. And with
- that I think we're going to move on to the next topic, which is really fun and
- I don't think Valerie, were you staying on for this, or are you happily leading

- us at this moment? Unless did you have anything else you guys wanted to
- add before we close? Or questions for the Board before we send you off
- with this idea of putting together something for us to review and get back to
- 605 us with?
- 606 Christie Addona: You know it's not it's not uncommon in our practice. And I
- think Councilman Wilcher makes a good point that you know if you're
- looking at something tangible it's sometimes easier than when you're
- talking about it in the abstract. So there's not I'm not going to be able to
- [UNCLEAR] with Valerie I'm not going to be able to decide a lot of those -
- those sub divisions, for you, but I can lay out what the law would look like
- and what your potential options are. And then it might at least help help
- the Board decide either you know you know we don't want to go in this
- direction, or it may facilitated dialogue as to what direction you would want
- 615 to go in.
- Dana Levenberg: Sure. I mean, obviously we want to protect the Town. We
- don't want to be putting ourselves in a position of lawsuits and things of that
- nature, so all of those are considerations that we need to make as well. So
- 619 hopefully whatever you draft you're going to be thinking about that it's top of
- your mind their Counsel Tomm Addona. I know you well so -
- 621 Christie Addona: That's always at the top of my mind.
- Dana Levenberg: And that will be that I think that actually is would be
- very helpful for us.
- Valerie Monastra: And we'll use the recommendation from the
- 625 Comprehensive Plan Steering Committee as the basis of our starting point
- 626 for discussion.
- Dana Levenberg: Absolutely.
- Valerie Monastra: Okay.
- Dana Levenberg: Alright fantastic, alright. So with that can we move on to
- our next topic which is so much fun, we are looking at our draft local on
- number six of 2021: special events policy. So we're getting close to
- finalizing the local law and bye Valerie if you're leaving us on a special
- events policy in the Town of Ossining there are a few remaining items to
- discuss. Counsel Addona can you highlight those areas of law that you

would like to get some additional feedback on from the Board? And then 635 hopefully - we have heard - we've sent this out to all of the relevant 636 department heads and gotten back a little bit of input from our insurance 637 agent. But we will reach out to them again and make sure that they didn't 638 miss the email, and they had a chance to take a look and - and weigh in on 639 anything that they think might be helpful for the Town to consider as we 640 look to adopt a special events policy that is somewhat informed - very 641 much informed, I would say, by the Village of Ossining's special events 642 policy that they adopted. And the reason that we did want to look at that 643 very closely, is because these applications usually go through the 644 Recreation and Parks Superintendent Bill Garrison and - and his 645 department, since we share him. And we think it would make it easier for 646 people to understand what the process would be if it were similar to that of 647 the Village's, instead of having two separate - completely separate policies. 648 But we do have some different considerations and therefore we have 649 tweaked our local law in fact we're not self-insurance so our insurance 650 agent has given us come different insurance requirements that we wanted 651 to make sure that we referred to in this without being too specific, because 652 they do change and we want to make sure that - I think that they're broad 653 enough that we're we feel like we're covered, but we're not hamstringing 654 655 ourselves with a local law that has to change, every time you know... limits are changed or recommendations for limits change. So there are some in 656 here now but I think again we're going to consider if you know that's 657 something that's referred to outside that we point to the policy points to. So, 658 as I said, with that Christie Tomm Addona take it away. 659

Christie Addona: So, as you explained, I think the reason for the outstanding items: it's basically because the starting off point of the Town and Village were different, and considering this. And so, a lot of the - I think all - all of the remaining items have to do with either fees or fines. So the monetary aspect of the law. One issue that came up - and I think Victoria may be able to help out with the exact numbers. I'm sorry to put you on the spot - I can also pull it up.

Dana Levenberg: I think I have it. Which one?

667

668 Christie Addona: The easiest and most straightforward is just setting the application fee. So just for the Board's recollection we're doing this by local

- law. The fees will go in the fee schedule which can be amended which
- can be set an amended from time to time by resolution but because we're
- trying to get this implemented for this season, it would be beneficial to have
- those numbers in place at the time of the local laws adoption. So that's kind
- of why we're looking at this now, so the first is to set an application fee and
- probably just be beneficial to mirror what the Village did for administration
- 676 purposes.
- Victoria Cafarelli: You want me to pull up the Village's fee schedule, so I do
- 678 have it here?
- 679 Christie Addona: Sure, if that's helpful to the Board.
- 680 Dana Levenberg: Sure.
- Victoria Cafarelli: Okay.
- 682 [SCREENSHARE BEGINS]
- Victoria Cafarelli: Can you see it all?
- Dana Levenberg: Yeah.
- Victoria Cafarelli: Okay good.
- Dana Levenberg: Okay, so, the block party application fee: zero. The
- special event application fee: \$25. Banner application fee which I don't
- think we really have a whole lot of banners that go up in the Town, most of
- the time they go up in the Village, so I would think that that would still be
- something that would be set by Village \$25 nonrefundable fee, if the event
- is in Ossining, \$50 non refundable fee if the event is outside of Ossining.
- Street closing of parking spots and municipal parking spots, again I don't
- think that's relevant. Event Level one is up to 299 in attendance: \$200 per
- event, this is relevant. Event Level two: 300 to 499 in attendance is \$500
- for per event. Level Three: attendance exceeds 500: \$700 per event and
- a security deposit unless otherwise specified. \$200 for Level one, \$500 for
- Level two, and \$700 for Level three. So basically just double whatever the
- 698 actual permit cost is in that is what you're paying. So that's again, for
- special events of that size, which would for the most part be on public
- property, but could be on private property, depending on how its defined.
- 701 Correct?

- 702 Christie Addona: Yes.
- Dana Levenberg: So if somebody wanted to erect a tent and have an event
- that would be 300 to 499 and attendance that would cost them \$500. We
- can think of some of those events that I don't believe we've charged for in
- the past. I'm sure a few of us could come up with those in our heads. And
- that would be new. So I cannot see everybody now are we done staring at
- 708 these numbers now?
- 709 Christie Addona: One thing I'll just also say –
- 710 [SCREENSHARE ENDS]
- Christie Addona: is that we are treating block parties differently than the
- Village. The Village exempted all block parties from the special event
- permit. The Town Board had discussed only exempting it for block parties
- on dead end streets. So there there would be conceivably... If there was a
- block party proposed to be held in the unincorporated Town on a non-dead
- end street they would have to make an application so so you might want
- to think about if you want there to be a fee for that or if you just want it to be
- a zero dollar fee, like the Village. The other thing is that the Village does
- provide for a street closing fee so that would go hand in hand, really, with a
- block party. Because you're [not] necessarily I would think closing access
- to the street if you're holding a block party, so those fees may be relevant
- to the Board's discussion.
- 723 Dana Levenberg: Okay.
- 724 Victoria Cafarelli: [UNCLEAR].
- Elizabeth Feldman: So we could easily switch it from a street closing fee to
- a block party block party fee?
- 727 Victoria Cafarelli: I –
- 728 Christie Addona: [UNCLEAR].
- Victoria Cafarelli: Sorry, I just I don't want to speak for the Village Board,
- but I think the intent of those street closing fees is really for if you're taking
- up municipal parking, which we don't have in the Town. So the idea of
- paying \$10 per space is for recouping the meter fees that they normally
- would be getting if that was open parking during the day. So it may not be

- as relevant to the Town as it was for the Village, just want to throw that out
- 735 there.
- Dana Levenberg: But now isn't there a cost for if you need police presence
- for closing a street or you know, making sure that you have barricades?
- No, I guess not.
- Victoria Cafarelli: No because then it just becomes a special event and
- you're paying for that via your event level.
- Dana Levenberg: I see. So would still be for the block party if it was over,
- whatever it's up to two 990 pay \$200. What was it two, five, and seven, I
- 743 believe right. So...
- Elizabeth Feldman: I thought we were exempting the block party?
- Christie Addona: Only if they're on a dead end street, is the way it's
- 746 currently drafted.
- Dana Levenberg: Right, but the question is, I think now I'm a little confused
- because I if somebody's having a block party or they have an event and
- now we're asking them to pay an event fee?
- 750 Christie Addona: Yes. [UNCLEAR] like on a dead end street.
- Dana Levenberg: So if it's on the dead end street it's not considered an
- 752 event.
- 753 Christie Addona: That that's the way the law currently stands, based upon
- the prior discussions. Yes.
- 755 Dana Levenberg: Okay.
- 756 Elizabeth Feldman: I don't really think -
- Jackie Shaw: [UNCLEAR] put that in because the street would have to be
- blocked if it wasn't a dead end street. I vaguely remember our discussion.
- 759 Christie Addona: And it could interfere with traffic.
- Jackie Shaw: Right. So that was the reason for a need for a fee there
- because there would have to be possibly police involvement to block the
- street or something like that.

- Christie Addona: And the other thing about the street closing fee is that, I
- understand why it was probably originated in the Village, but it it it was
- referenced in the villages version of the law. So because the Village had
- block parties as being exempt from the special event policy they they kind
- of delineated their own separate process for for handling block parties.
- And it included paying a street closing fee. So the street closing fee the
- way it's contemplated here would only be for the dead end street block
- parties that are currently exempt as drafted exempt from the special event
- permit. But if you need a special event permit, then you submit the
- application, just like anyone else holding a special event and it's treated the
- normal way as the as the other special events. Not like the
- exempted dead end street block parties.
- Dana Levenberg: Pretty sure my head is spinning right now. What... Okay, I
- want to have a party and I live on a dead end street. You guys know I know
- 777 but.
- 778 Christie Addona: Is it a block party?
- Dana Levenberg: Well, you know, most of the people that are coming are
- going to be, you know people who live on the block. But then I have some
- extra friends I'm inviting to so there's probably going to be at least you
- know with the kids and everything I say you know there's going to be 75
- 783 people.
- Christie Addona: But, are you having it on your property or are you closing
- 785 the road?
- Dana Levenberg: Well, I definitely want to close the road, because I want
- the kids to be able to ride on tricycles on the street.
- Christie Addona: Okay, that it's exempt if it's on a dead end street.
- Dana Levenberg: So, even though I'm having a party I'm sorry I'm now
- having 300 people. It's still exempt or is it now a party?
- Christie Addona: No. Block parties are exempt they're their own the way
- we use the Villages law as our starting off point -
- Dana Levenberg: Right.

- Christie Addona: and the Village had it was 'block parties are exempt.' We
- discussed that and the Town Board to assess carving out from that
- exemption, block parties that are not on dead end streets.
- Flizabeth Feldman: Can we not charge for the party, not call it an event but
- charge if an officer is needed to help close the street?
- Dana Levenberg: I mean that makes sense to me. So I would say that
- makes more sense I don't know if I thought that's what we were thinking
- 801 about actually -
- 802 Elizabeth Feldman: I thought that's what we were thinking. Yeah.
- Dana Levenberg: I thought we were thinking if we need police to be
- involved with a dead end street you put a little barricade up its no biggie,
- but if you have a through street you could put a barricade up, we probably
- might need some help also. And if you need help, that's going to cost
- money and if it costs money -
- 808 Christie Addona: And then it's a special event. And then you're going
- 809 through the normal process.
- Dana Levenberg: But it's not a special event, it's a block party.
- Victoria Cafarelli: It's a block party it's a block party on a through street.
- Which, in the Town, this law applies. In the Village it doesn't.
- Dana Levenberg: Okay. Alright so -
- Victoria Cafarelli: So yes, you are paying for the police. You're paying for
- 815 whoever -
- Dana Levenberg: Okay, so if so if its a block party on a cul de sac it's not
- gonna cost you anything. If it's a block party then then on a through
- street, it rises to the level of special event. And then you have to pay a fee
- based on the use. Correct?
- 820 Christie Addona: The only thing the only thing I would clarify about that is
- that the way the laws currently drafted is that if it's on a cul de sac, which I
- guess we're saying is the equivalent of a dead end street, then under those
- circumstances that contemplates paying the street closing fee.
- 824 Dana Levenberg: Okay, which is -

- 825 Christie Addona: That's the way the Villages was.
- Dana Levenberg: [UNCLEAR].
- 827 Christie Addona: The Village also had all block parties being exempt.
- 828 Elizabeth Feldman: I feel like I've watched all block parties exempt.
- Dana Levenberg: Except you just said you want them to pay a fee for to
- close the through through Street.
- Elizabeth Feldman: If if there's an officer needed there should be a fee for
- 832 that.
- Victoria Cafarelli: So, then you don't want them exempt exempt means
- they don't pay a fee, in this world.
- 835 Elizabeth Feldman: Okay.
- 836 Victoria Cafarelli: So...
- 837 Dana Levenberg: Right.
- Jackie Shaw: If there's a through street there needs to be some kind of
- intervention police intervention. So there would be some kind of fee yeah
- 840 I'm totally confused too. But -
- 841 Dana Levenberg: Yes, I think -
- Jackie Shaw: basically if you have to close off the street, and there has to
- be police intervention if it's a through story. So there has to be some kind of
- 844 fee.
- Dana Levenberg & Victoria Cafarelli: Here's the -
- 846 Elizabeth Feldman: And then they have to go through that whole form.
- Dana Levenberg: Right.
- Victoria Cafarelli: Here's the thing [UNCLEAR] you had less than 300
- people would be \$200 more than \$500 that's a fee you're paying just like if
- you were doing it in another public space.
- Dana Levenberg: I think we're I'm I think we're all having some trouble
- here, which is there is 'special events' and then there's the 'block party.'
- And the block party is either a special event or it's not. So it becomes a

- special event when you have to close off two streets but it's still really is a
- block party, so I think we're now saying are we going to just come up with
- what the cost would be to close off both ends of the street and that's what
- we're going to charge for a block party that is [UNCLEAR] -
- 858 Christie Addona: That is a street closing.
- Dana Levenberg: A street closing fee.
- 860 Elizabeth Feldman: Okay.
- Dana Levenberg: And then other events are treated differently. So a block
- party is treated one way. If it's on a cul de sac you got nothing, you don't
- pay anything. If it's on through street, you have to pay it a street closing
- 864 **fee**.
- 865 Christie Addona: But but it's not going to be a special event.
- Dana Levenberg: Correct, right, that's what everybody is saying.
- 867 Jackie Shaw: Yes.
- 868 Elizabeth Feldman: Yes.
- Dana Levenberg: Okay. We're good with that?
- 870 Jackie Shaw: Yes.
- Dana Levenberg: Okay. Great, anything else? So now I don't think
- 872 Christie's happy but okay. Um okay so so I think that's what- what -
- maybe we just didn't understand last time around, because I think it was
- maybe a little confusing so... I think that makes more sense for otherwise
- it seems it doesn't make sense, why should a block party be a special
- event when it's on a through street versus not? It's its -
- 877 Christie Addona: Then why would why would a special event on private
- property be... what's the difference?
- Dana Levenberg: So I think what the difference is and I'm not I'm not 100%
- sure, but I'm going to say on private property its one event that people are
- being invited to somebody's home, versus a block party, where the whole
- block's involved. So it's more likely that you're going to be disturbing all
- your neighbors if you're having an event on private property, then, if you're
- having a block party where all your neighbors are invited.

- 885 Christie Addona: Okay.
- Dana Levenberg: I don't know that's the only thing I can come up with that
- makes sense as to why block party isn't considered an event.
- Northern Wilcher: I'm going I'm going to get an aspirin.
- Dana Levenberg: Well, if it's on a through street that'll cost you, but if it's if
- it's just on a dead end you don't have to pay for it. I'm just telling you.
- Victoria Cafarelli: Maybe, perhaps we should have an offline conversation
- with Chief Sylvester or perhaps somebody from the Village about what the
- thinking was behind this because I think that's also maybe what's confusing
- us a little bit is I think they spent a lot of time thinking about this and we
- haven't necessarily done that. So perhaps it might clarify some things –
- 896 Dana Levenberg: Okay.
- 897 Victoria Cafarelli: offline.
- 898 Christie Addona: We're also going to need a number. Right? If the whole
- intent is to have all block parties be exempt but you have this street closing
- 900 fee. I what was a \$25 or something in the Village's fee schedule is that
- 901 really -
- Victoria Cafarelli: Is still says um it says \$10 per spots still. So that I'm also
- a little confused about so we'd have to sort of structure it differently,
- because we don't really have parking spots in the Town in the same way,
- 905 **SO** -
- Dana Levenberg: Here's what I'm going to say I'm going to say that I think
- 907 it should loosely be based on the amount of time that we would need a
- police presence to be there. If that's something that we're going to need for
- the through traffic to be closed, so based on the length of time of the event
- that through street is going to be closed, we would come up with fee based
- on approximately an average cost.
- Christie Addona: So that could that could conceivably be more than an
- application for a special event.
- 914 Dana Levenberg: That's possible.

- Greg Meyer: I think after the year we've had, the less fees the better. Let
- 916 people enjoy themselves.
- Dana Levenberg: Well we can always -
- Jackie Shaw: But the other question is how many parties are there in the
- Town on through streets?
- Dana Levenberg: I don't think it's worth the time that we're taking to talk
- 921 about it.
- Jackie Shaw: That's where I'm headed. That's exactly what I'm saying.
- Dana Levenberg: [UNCLEAR] are there any? Do we know of any? I think
- that's how we probably got him got to this whole point is that we don't think
- that there are a lot of block parties, but if somebody decided that they want
- to have a block party on a through street. So, again is this just a waste of
- time to get into this? And, like what can we just leave it as it is and say
- fine it's it's considered an event, if it's on the three street and then you can
- pay 200 bucks. I'm sure that I'm sure that the block can come up with two
- hundred dollars for for it if needed. And that would personally offset
- whatever cost might be involved, if that even ever happens. Remember it's
- not going to even happen, probably. We can always change the fee
- 933 schedule also. Or we could -
- Christie Addona: So no fee and no special event for block parties on dead
- end streets and have it still be treated as a special event for through
- 936 streets.
- Jackie Shaw: I think that's the easiest way to do it for something that I've
- never heard of happening. The only block parties I know of were on a cul
- 939 de sacs. And I've lived here a while.
- Dana Levenberg: Okay. I'm okay with that. Basically because I don't think
- that there's a lot of these block parties and [UNCLEAR] unless if you know
- again if we want the only other thing we could do is say no fee for block
- parties unless police presence required. And if that's the case, then we
- could come up with a fee. And we could just -
- Elizabeth Feldman: And call it a special event. If a police presence is
- 946 required.

- Dana Levenberg: Okay fine, that's all. Sounds good, and then you pay by
- the by the amount of people that you're expecting. Okay so we're going
- back to that. Okay let's go on to the next item for discussion. Do we want to
- keep going? Christie do you want to keep going? I think you started. I don't
- know if you started at the bottom or the top.
- Christie Addona: Yeah I was just I just sorry I just went back to look at
- the other criteria for what makes something a special permit. So excuse
- me a special event. The closing of a public street is number one, so...
- Okay, so I'll I'll play around with that and I'll I'll circulate something to the
- Board, I think I have an understanding of the direction you want to go in
- now. So that was one of the issues, the block parties and street closing fee.
- Jim Duffy looked at the insurance and indemnity, so unless anyone has any
- issues with that we can incorporate his comments. The application fee,
- does the Board just want to mirror what's in the Village's fee schedule for
- 961 the tiers?
- 962 UNCLEAR: I think we should.
- 963 UNCLEAR: Yeah.
- Dana Levenberg: That's that's where it gets too confusing in my opinion, if
- we start changing all those around. So I'm good with that.
- 966 Christie Addona: Okay, then the last thing -
- 967 Dana Levenberg: [UNCLEAR]
- Christie Addona: Oh I'm sorry, were you done. Were was there something
- 969 **else**?
- 970 Dana Levenberg: No.
- 971 Christie Addona: Okay, then the last thing was the fines. And the Village's
- 972 fines ranged from \$500 to \$2000 for a first offense. And when the Board
- 973 discussed this what feels like a million years ago now there was
- of discussion about potentially lowering that to 250 and 1000 so basically
- cutting in half what the Village had. I don't know that that's as much of an
- 976 issue as far as administration, because that would be handled by the police
- department and the courts. The point of not having it be exactly the same
- 978 as what the Village has.

- Dana Levenberg: Again, I don't know. I mean it why while I think that the
- 980 fines here seem kind of high to me, the do we want to go rogue here or
- should we just try to make it easier and stick with the the Village's?
- [UNCLEAR] again this is something that we can change. That true or is that
- 983 not true?
- Ohristie Addona: You can change whatever you want.
- Dana Levenberg: No, I mean, do you get to go through a whole local law
- thing or is that something that we reference -
- Christie Addona: Generally generally fines and penalties for violations do
- 988 go in the law itself.
- Dana Levenberg: Okay, so then it's not something that's easy to change.
- Okay whose gonna we'll just go back around again. Everybody, what do
- you think? Greg, I'm gonna start with you. Councilman Mayer, sorry.
- 992 Greg Meyer: Yeah I'm fine just using the same.
- 993 Dana Levenberg: Councilman Feldman?
- 994 Elizabeth Feldman: It's gonna get confusing if we don't use the same.
- 995 Jackie Shaw: Agreed.
- 996 Christie Addona: It's also sorry I don't mean to interrupt but just just also
- keep in mind that, in practice, you know the police's practices is
- 998 informational at first. So you know, unless it's something quite egregious
- there's probably just going to be a 'hey you know you really need to get a
- permit for this,' the first time, anyway.
- Dana Levenberg: Correct. And, to a certain extent, I think that these are
- supposed to be scare tactics. Right? They're supposed to scare people into
- doing the right thing, knowing that there's a fee attached, if you don't follow
- 1004 the rules.
- 1005 Elizabeth Feldman: Or punish a habitual offender, in which case -
- 1006 Christie Addona: Right.
- Elizabeth Feldman: They'll fight the fine.
- 1008 Dana Levenberg: Exactly.

- 1009 Christie Addona: Right.
- Dana Levenberg: Okay so we'll leave as is. Then we'll keep with the
- Village. Council Councilman Wilcher are you agreement with that?
- Northern Wilcher: With with the Village.
- Dana Levenberg: Okay, alright. Is that everything? Other I mean, I think
- you changed the funeral procession procession definition per our
- discussion. You changed wherever it said Town or Village to municipal
- where needed, or made sense. Omitted legally operating restaurants and
- venues from special event definition. And I think... was that the main... \
- 1018 Christie Addona: Basis to deny a special event. If there are two –
- 1019 Dana Levenberg: Yeah.
- 1020 Christie Addona: there is already an application that's been submitted for -
- for a location in close proximity, I think this came up in the context of the
- parks by the waterfront.
- 1023 Dana Levenberg: Yes.
- 1024 Christie Addona: Now that if there's already one in one, it might be too
- close. Even though it's technically a separate sort of venue and the
- resources may not be there to accommodate another larger event in such
- 1027 close proximity.
- Dana Levenberg: Yes, and we think that Village should add that in too.
- Yes. That's good. That that looks good. We good?
- 1030 Jackie Shaw: Yup.
- Dana Levenberg: Alright fantastic. Thank you all. Counsel Tomm Addona,
- thank you for your patience with this Board. And Board thank you for
- 1033 patience -
- 1034 Christie Addona: I said dead ends more in the last 20 minutes than I have
- in my whole life.
- Dana Levenberg: Okay um I don't know I grew I never even knew cul de
- sac. That's some fancy term. When I was growing up, we just called it a
- dead end it's a dead end that's what it was. I mean maybe it didn't have
- that fancy round thing you know where I lived, but in any case um... I think

- that's it for this evening um... Could I have a motion to adjourn to executive
- session for advice of counsel and personnel?
- 1042 Elizabeth Feldman: So moved.
- 1043 Jackie Shaw: Second.
- Dana Levenberg: All those in favor?
- 1045 Board Members: Aye.
- Dana Levenberg: You have 15 16 minutes left to vote in the school district
- and library votes this evening. Don't be late. Todd school or the [Ossining]
- High School. And with that thanks for joining us tonight, please join us next
- week for our Town Board legislative session on Tuesday, May 25 at
- 7:30pm via zoom. The Executive Order, most recently put out, which was, I
- think, yesterday, allows for zoom meetings to be extended once again
- through June 16 this time. So we likely be continuing in this format for the
- foreseeable future. However, we recognize that we are sort of getting back
- to pre-pandemic normal so we'll be starting discussion soon on how to
- transition back to in person meetings in the coming months. I know that
- we're all really looking forward to being back together with each other
- without our masks. But we're also not necessarily looking forward to
- traveling around so much because we've got kind of used to just like you
- know eating dinner in one room and going into the Board Meeting in the
- other room. So that's going to be another change for all of us and we're
- going to have to adapt. We'll look forward to hopefully be back together in
- the not too distant future. So stay tuned and don't forget to vote. Have a
- 1063 great night everybody.