



SPECIAL MEETING

**TOWN BOARD
TOWN OF OSSINING
86-88 SPRING STREET
BIRDSALL-FAGEN POLICE COURT FACILITY
OSSINING, NEW YORK
JULY 31, 2012
7:30 P.M**

**SUPERVISOR
Susanne Donnelly**

**COUNCILMEMBERS
Geoffrey Harter Eric P. Blaha
Peter Tripodi IV Northern Wilcher**

I. CALL TO ORDER – PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE – ROLL CALL

The Special Meeting of the Town Board of the Town of Ossining was held on July 31, 2012 in the Police/Court Facility, 86-88 Spring Street in Ossining. The meeting was called to order at 7:30 p.m. by Supervisor Susanne Donnelly. Members of the Board present were: Councilmembers Northern Wilcher, Eric Blaha and Geoffrey Harter. Councilman Peter Tripodi was absent. Also present were Town Attorney Wayne Spector, Budget Officer Madeline Zachacz and Town Clerk Mary Ann Roberts.

II. Local Law To Abolish The Position of an Elected Superintendent of Highways and Replace It With an Appointed Superintendent Of Highways In The Town Of Ossining.

Visitors shall be accorded one (1) three minute opportunity to address the Board on issues relating to the Public Hearing, but of relevance to the common good of the Town.

Supervisor Donnelly read the following statement:

Tonight we will be having a public hearing concerning a potential change in the Town of Ossining law that allows for the election of a Superintendant of Highways.

After much discussion among the Town Board, we have determined that we would allow this conversation to move forward- to listen to the residents and vote for this issue go to a referendum. All the residents affected by this issue would then be able to vote on changing it from an elected position to an appointed position, and we will leave the final decision in the hands of the residents of the unincorporated area of Ossining, as is dictated by law.

We welcome every comment from you, which will be recorded and entered in the minutes of this meeting. We are also televising this meeting live and will have it repeated several times on the Government access channels. You can also view this and all meetings on your computer tonight and then forever in the archives after the IT department posts it in the next day or two.

Except for in the case of when we encounter technical issues, NO meeting is ever edited.

Tonight, we want to make sure to hear all of you, and thus have restricted the time to 3 minutes per resident. Please stay within that timeframe- we want everyone to have the ability to speak. We want to hear how you feel about this topic, and while we might close this public hearing tonight, we can continue this conversation at our

Aug. 21 Town Hall Meeting. The Town Board will vote on this on August 28th and, if passed, it will be on the ballot in November 2012 for each registered voter in the unincorporated area of the Town to decide on whether the law will be enacted or not.

Again, we want to emphasize it is not about an individual, but rather about an autonomous position that cannot be held accountable for performance under the current law.

As I have said many times before, this is about business. This is not a personality contest, the perception and/or reality of favoritism, or politics. It is about getting the required work done through project management, proper scheduling, and the ability to handle crisis situations as they arise.

We, the Board and the Administration of the Town, understand the differences between the Private and Public sectors. We understand that we must be a service oriented team that understands what is needed to accomplish goals for the whole community, not what is best for individuals. Each member of our team must be held accountable to the administration that is thereby responsible to the taxpayers of the Town of Ossining.

For those who are concerned that 4 of the 5 members of this Board do not live in the unincorporated section of the Town, please remember we view the Town as a business, and feel as though we are the CEO and Board with whom the stakeholders have vested their trust to run a successful operation. We are working daily with the Dept Managers as they accomplish goals, ensure the safety of our infrastructure, examine new and innovative ways of saving tax dollars with technology, and utilizing best practices to ensure that our employees work as safely and as efficiently as possible.

Please be assured that we are not looking to consolidate the Highway department with the Village DPW. We have heard from many of you how YOUR Highway personnel do a fantastic job and how happy you are with the crew. We would not have replaced a member of the “crew” this spring had we even thought about consolidation.

As we told you since this subject came up originally, if this moves forward, we will be creating a committee which will include members of the community to work with us to draft a job description for the appointed position, format job responsibilities, and be part of the vetting process when applicants apply.

The Town Board has, and will continue to be, upfront and honest with each and every one of you, and pledge our commitment to you for open and transparent government.

At 7:31 P.M., the Public Hearing was opened.

Regina Valko, Duers Court, stated that she did not have any complaints about the department or the Superintendent of Highways. Mr. O’Connor does an excellent job. She questioned whether there would be notification by mail several times as many people do not have a computer to view this information on line? Also, in section 6 you indicated that you were going to form minimum qualifications for this position. When these minimum qualifications are set Ms. Valko would like to know what they are. You also stated that as a Town Board you will be the appointing authority for all the employees of the Highway Department and for procurement and expenditures. If you are just working on the Highway Superintendent position why are you now taking over the entire Highway Department?

Supervisor Donnelly responded that yes, there would be at least one mailing if not two regarding this subject matter and that it would be further discussed at future Work Sessions. A non-elected Superintendent of Highways would be in charge of running the department and would report back to the Board. The Town Board has

a fiduciary responsibility to all expenditures. As it stands now, the Superintendent of Highways does not report to anyone.

Regina Valko also questioned that if you have four members of the board that live in the village and one that lives in the town she feels that there isn't an even distribution.

Supervisor Donnelly explained that you need to look at this as a business atmosphere and believes that we have shown over the last seven months that we are working hard for the people in the unincorporated area and the town.

Sim Storch, Somerstown Road, has read the coverage in the Gazette regarding the Superintendent of Highways position proposed as an appointed rather than an elected position. Mr. Storch recently had an issue with a hole in front of his home that caused a great deal of noise as cars went over it. After several phone calls to both the Town and the State, he did not receive any guidance as to whether it was a State problem or a Town problem. At some point in time it was repaired and he doesn't know whether the State or the Town did the repair. After a short time, the hole was in need of repair again. A second phone call to the Town was directed to a foreman who made a proper repair. Mr. Storch feels that it not a matter if you elect somebody or appoint somebody the position should be held by the best person. The rank and file person should be involved in the decision making. The Board may want to consider promoting from within. The people who actually do the work should provide input on what the qualifications of a Highway Superintendent should be or how can it be done better.

Supervisor Donnelly responded that anyone can apply for this position as long as they are at least 18 years of age.

Sim Storch advised that there was no mention of salary for this position.

William Scherer, North State Road, has been a resident since December 1974 and has never had a problem with snow removal. His feeling is that since it is a good system, it is not a good thing to change it to an appointed position rather than an elected position. Mr. Scherer feels that we should keep this position as an elected position. Mr. O'Connor and his crew have been excellent; they work for the good of the Town.

Robert Sherman, Tavano Road, The residents are satisfied and if you talk about a business it all starts from the top. Please refer to the old saying. "If it isn't broken, don't fix it". This decision should be made once the incumbent retires. Mr. O'Connor should not be fired. Everyone is happy with the system the way it is.

Philip Rader, Gordon Avenue, Mr. Rader agreed with Mr. Sherman's comments and he commended Mr. O'Connor for saving the property in his neighborhood. Only 5% of the municipalities in the State of New York have appointed Highway Superintendents and if this is such a good thing why isn't the percentage higher? At this point in time, he is pleased with the service.

Mindy Lamarre, Haymont Terrace, stated that Mr. O'Connor has done a wonderful job and questioned where the motivation came from to propose the change from elected to appointed. Ms. Lamarre is not sure if the Board is looking out for the best interest of the Town. If he wasn't doing a good job he would have been removed by the voters. After reading the meeting minutes from June 19, 2012 it states that the position should not be determined by a popularity contest. Elections by nature are popularity contests. Ms. Lamarre reminded the Board that Citizens have elected convicted felons. The Mayor of Washington, D.C. was re-elected even after he was convicted. Ms. Lammare can't imagine that in this day and age that a Town Government would want to take voting rights away from people.

Supervisor Donnelly clarified that with an elected Highway Superintendent this position is autonomous and they do not report to the Board.

Steve Jenney, Deer Run Lane, met Mr. O'Connor for the first time in 1996 and has found Mr. O'Connor to be a very qualified individual who has done much for his community through the Town Board, Fire Department, etc... He has been a loyal servant to our community. Mr. Jenney does not want the Town of Ossining run like a business with a CEO. He feels that the people are very comfortable with the job he has done and questions why the Board is meddling. The Town people want Mr. O'Connor and questions why the Board is forcing him out of a job when he has done a great job. If the Board appoints someone, this will leave the people with many questions. Mr. Jenny feels that The Board should leave well enough alone and let the Department stay the way it is.

Judy Weintraub, Ganung Drive, stated that the question is accountability. She questioned what Mr. O'Connor's salary was at this time?

Supervisor Donnelly responded that it was approximately \$90,000.

Ms. Weintraub questioned if this position would be civil service?

Supervisor Donnelly responded yes it will be civil service.

Ms. Weintraub stated that Pleasantville just hired a gentleman such as Mr. O'Connor and it was a civil service position and the base salary was \$135,000 plus. She is not overly convinced that the Town will be saving money. Once you appoint someone from the civil service list and they end their probationary period, they are there for life.

Supervisor Donnelly explained that this would be a Department Manager job and most of our department managers are not civil service.

Ms. Weintraub explained that an elected official does not do their job then the citizens can vote him out and that is the accountability that we have.

Budget Officer Zachacz responded that this will be an appointed position and would not be an exam based position just an appointed civil service position.

Frank Faiella, a former Town Resident, who was born and raised in the Village of Ossining, stated that Russ Curtin and Michael O'Connor have both done a great job. It seems that we are moving everything out of what we have had for many years such as the Police Department, Highway Department. Mr. O'Connor did more than his share for the Town & Village of Ossining and would do anything to help our residents.

Andre Lamarre, Haymont Terrace, The Community Budget is something that the Board is responsible for and if you don't take care of it properly the citizens can fire you by hiring someone else through a vote. The Highway Superintendent has a job to do and if the residents don't like it they can fire him. Mr. O'Connor is the lowest paid Highway Superintendent in the County. If the Town Board wants to appoint someone, they are going to have to raise the salary. An individual is able to run for this position whether they know anything about the job or not.

Supervisor Donnelly explained that it is an appointed position and it says in the law that the person must live in the Town of Ossining.

Mr. Lamarre states that there is no one in the Town of Ossining that is on the list.

Supervisor Donnelly explained that this is an appointed position and we do not need to go off a list.

Mr. Lamarre questioned will you be making other positions to be appointed such as Tax Receiver, the four Judges, The Board?

Ellen Kahan, Ganung Drive, has lived in Ossining for the past 24 years. This the first Board to hear our concerns. Mr. O'Connor has done a wonderful job but at the end of the day the position is protection to the unincorporated area to ensure that any effort to get rid of our Highway Department will require notification and involvement from the community. This must have an affirmative vote.

Supervisor Donnelly reminded the Community that a Town Hall Meeting will take place on August 21, 2012 at the Ossining Public Library in the Theater Section. Kindly use the back door to enter as the main library is closed at that time. The Town Board will have a vote on the Local Law pertaining to the abolishing of the position of an elected Superintendent of Highways and replace it with an appointed Superintendent of Highways. This is open to all registered voters.

At 8:08 P.M., Councilman Blaha moved and it was seconded by Councilman Wilcher that the Public Hearing be closed.

III. ANNOUNCEMENTS-None

IV. PUBLIC COMMENT ON AGENDA ITEMS-None

V. BOARD RESOLUTIONS

A.

TAX CERTIORARI

CHRISTOPHER L. TROTTA

vs.

TOWN OF OSSINING

Councilmember Harter moved and it was seconded by Councilmember Wilcher that the following be approved:

WHEREAS, proceedings pursuant to Article 7 of the Real Property Tax Law of the State of New York were instituted by Christopher L. Trotta, against the Town of Ossining to review the tax assessments made on Petitioner's property located at 139 North Highland Avenue in the Town of Ossining, and designated on the tax assessment map of the Town of Ossining as Section 89.15, Block 4, Lot 14, for assessment years 2006 through 2011, which proceedings are now pending in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Westchester, under Index Nos. 19142/2006; 19880/2007; 21427/2008; 22102/2009; 24073/2010; and 14827/2011; and

WHEREAS, the above Petitioner has agreed to a compromise and settlement of such proceedings, subject to the approval of the Town Board, correcting and reducing the assessed valuation of its real property and improvements, as follows:

Section 89.15, Block 4, Lot 14			
Assessment	Original	Settlement	Reduction in
Roll Year	Assessment	Assessment	Assessment
2006	\$36,000	\$28,800	\$7,200
2007	\$36,000	\$28,800	\$7,200
2008	\$36,000	\$28,800	\$7,200
2009	\$36,000	\$28,800	\$7,200
2010	\$36,000	\$28,800	\$7,200
2011	\$36,000	\$28,800	\$7,200

WHEREAS, any and all refunds necessitated by said settlement will be made without interest; and WHEREAS, the Town Board, upon the recommendation of

the Assessor, concurred by the Town Attorney, finds the proposed settlement appropriate and in the best interest of the Town of Ossining; now therefore it is

RESOLVED, that settlement of the proceedings, on the terms set forth herein, is hereby accepted and approved, subject to the approval of the Supreme Court, Westchester County, wherein such proceedings are pending; and it is further

RESOLVED, that the Town Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to procure and execute any documents necessary to effectuate such settlement; and it is further

RESOLVED, subject to the approval of the Supreme Court, Westchester County, that the Assessor is authorized and directed to make the changes and corrections to the individual unit assessment on the tax assessment roll of the Town of Ossining, which will be ordered pursuant to the Consent Judgment to be entered in accordance with the terms of this settlement, and the Receiver of Taxes is authorized and directed to process and pay the refund of Town of Ossining taxes estimated to be \$492.39, which will be ordered pursuant to said Consent Judgment.

Motion Carried: Unanimously

B. TAX CERTIORARI

AGORA GOURMET FOODS, INC.

vs.

TOWN OF OSSINING

Councilmember Harter moved and it was seconded by Councilmember Wilcher that the following be approved:

WHEREAS, proceedings pursuant to Article 7 of the Real Property Tax Law of the State of New York were instituted by Agora Gourmet Foods, Inc., against the Town of Ossining to review the tax assessments made on Petitioner's property located at 512 North State Road in the Town of Ossining, and designated on the tax assessment map of the Town of Ossining as Section 90.19, Block 2, Lot 15, for assessment years 2010 and 2011, which proceedings are now pending in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Westchester, under Index Nos. 26484/2010; and 15800/2011; and

WHEREAS, the above Petitioner has agreed to a compromise and settlement of such proceedings, subject to the approval of the Town Board, correcting and reducing the assessed valuation of its real property and improvements, as follows:

<u>Assessment</u> <u>Year</u>	<u>Tax</u> <u>Year</u>	<u>Reduced</u> <u>From</u>	<u>Reduced</u> <u>To</u>	<u>Reduction</u>
2010	2011	60,600	47,260	13,340
2011	2012	60,600	46,800	13,800

WHEREAS, any and all refunds necessitated by said settlement will be made without interest; and **WHEREAS**, the Town Board, upon the recommendation of the Assessor, concurred by the Town Attorney, finds the proposed settlement appropriate and in the best interest of the Town of Ossining; now therefore it is

RESOLVED, that settlement of the proceedings, on the terms set forth herein, is hereby accepted and approved, subject to the approval of the Supreme Court, Westchester County, wherein such proceedings are pending; and it is further

RESOLVED, that the Town Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to procure and execute any documents necessary to effectuate such settlement; and it is further

RESOLVED, subject to the approval of the Supreme Court, Westchester County, that the Assessor is authorized and directed to make the changes and corrections to the individual unit assessment on the tax assessment roll of the Town of Ossining, which will be ordered pursuant to the Consent Judgment to be entered in accordance with the terms of this settlement, and the Receiver of Taxes is authorized and directed to process and pay the refund of Town of Ossining taxes estimated to be \$4,049.09, which will be ordered pursuant to said Consent Judgment.

Motion Carried: Unanimously

C. **TAX CERTIORARI**

MARIO VELARDO AND ANTONIO VELARDO INC.

vs.

TOWN OF OSSINING

Councilmember Wilcher moved and it was seconded by Councilmember Blaha that the following be approved:

WHEREAS, proceedings pursuant to Article 7 of the Real Property Tax Law of the State of New York were instituted by Mario Velardo and Antonio Velardo Inc., against the Town of Ossining to review the tax assessments made on Petitioner's property located at 76 Broadway in the Town of Ossining, and designated on the tax assessment map of the Town of Ossining as Section 89.19, Block 5, Lot 50, for assessment year 2011, which proceeding is now pending in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Westchester, under Index No. 57153/2011; and

WHEREAS, the above Petitioner has agreed to a compromise and settlement of such proceedings, subject to the approval of the Town Board, correcting and reducing the assessed valuation of its real property and improvements, as follows:

<u>Parcel ID</u>	<u>Current AV</u>	<u>Reduced AV</u>	<u>AV Reduction</u>
89.19-5-50	19,130	17,550	1,580

WHEREAS, any and all refunds necessitated by said settlement will be made without interest; and **WHEREAS**, the Town Board, upon the recommendation of the Assessor, concurred by the Town Attorney, finds the proposed settlement appropriate and in the best interest of the Town of Ossining; now therefore it is

RESOLVED, that settlement of the proceedings, on the terms set forth herein, is hereby accepted and approved, subject to the approval of the Supreme Court, Westchester County, wherein such proceedings are pending; and it is further

RESOLVED, that the Town Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to procure and execute any documents necessary to effectuate such settlement; and it is further

RESOLVED, subject to the approval of the Supreme Court, Westchester County, that the Assessor is authorized and directed to make the changes and corrections to the individual unit assessment on the tax assessment roll of the Town of Ossining, which will be ordered pursuant to the Consent Judgment to be entered in accordance with the terms of this settlement, and the Receiver of Taxes is authorized and directed to process and pay the refund of Town of Ossining taxes estimated to be \$22.28, which will be ordered pursuant to said Consent Judgment.

Motion Carried: Unanimously

D.

TAX CERTIORARI

MARIO VELARDO AND ANTONIO VELARDO INC.

vs.

TOWN OF OSSINING

Councilmember Wilcher moved and it was seconded by Councilmember Harter that the following be approved:

WHEREAS, proceedings pursuant to Article 7 of the Real Property Tax Law of the State of New York were instituted by Mario Velardo and Antonio Velardo Inc., against the Town of Ossining to review the tax assessments made on Petitioner’s property located at 80 Broadway in the Town of Ossining, and designated on the tax assessment map of the Town of Ossining as Section 89.19, Block 5, Lot 51, for assessment year 2011, which proceeding is now pending in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Westchester, under Index No. 57155/2011; and

WHEREAS, the above Petitioner has agreed to a compromise and settlement of such proceedings, subject to the approval of the Town Board, correcting and reducing the assessed valuation of its real property and improvements, as follows:

<u>Parcel ID</u>	<u>Current AV</u>	<u>Reduced AV</u>	<u>AV Reduction</u>
89.19-5-51	16,500	15,210	1,290

WHEREAS, any and all refunds necessitated by said settlement will be made without interest; and WHEREAS, the Town Board, upon the recommendation of the Assessor, concurred by the Town Attorney, finds the proposed settlement appropriate and in the best interest of the Town of Ossining; now therefore it is

RESOLVED, that settlement of the proceedings, on the terms set forth herein, is hereby accepted and approved, subject to the approval of the Supreme Court, Westchester County, wherein such proceedings are pending; and it is further

RESOLVED, that the Town Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to procure and execute any documents necessary to effectuate such settlement; and it is further

RESOLVED, subject to the approval of the Supreme Court, Westchester County, that the Assessor is authorized and directed to make the changes and corrections to the individual unit assessment on the tax assessment roll of the Town of Ossining, which will be ordered pursuant to the Consent Judgment to be entered in accordance with the terms of this settlement, and the Receiver of Taxes is authorized and directed to process and pay the refund of Town of Ossining taxes estimated to be \$18.19, which will be ordered pursuant to said Consent Judgment.

Motion Carried: Unanimously

E.

TAX CERTIORARI

MARIO VELARDO AND ANTONIO VELARDO INC.

vs.

TOWN OF OSSINING

Councilmember Harter moved and it was seconded by Councilmember Blaha that the following be approved:

WHEREAS, proceedings pursuant to Article 7 of the Real Property Tax Law of the State of New York were instituted by Mario Velardo and Antonio Velardo Inc., against the Town of Ossining to review the tax assessments made on Petitioner’s property located at 104 Dale Avenue in the Town of Ossining, and designated on the tax assessment map of the Town of Ossining as Section 89.12, Block 4, Lot 38, for

assessment year 2011, which proceeding is now pending in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Westchester, under Index No. 57156/2011; and

WHEREAS, the above Petitioner has agreed to a compromise and settlement of such proceedings, subject to the approval of the Town Board, correcting and reducing the assessed valuation of its real property and improvements, as follows:

<u>Parcel ID</u>	<u>Current AV</u>	<u>Reduced AV</u>	<u>AV Reduction</u>
89.12-4-38	39,690	32,175	7,515

WHEREAS, any and all refunds necessitated by said settlement will be made without interest; and WHEREAS, the Town Board, upon the recommendation of the Assessor, concurred by the Town Attorney, finds the proposed settlement appropriate and in the best interest of the Town of Ossining; now therefore it is

RESOLVED, that settlement of the proceedings, on the terms set forth herein, is hereby accepted and approved, subject to the approval of the Supreme Court, Westchester County, wherein such proceedings are pending; and it is further

RESOLVED, that the Town Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to procure and execute any documents necessary to effectuate such settlement; and it is further

RESOLVED, subject to the approval of the Supreme Court, Westchester County, that the Assessor is authorized and directed to make the changes and corrections to the individual unit assessment on the tax assessment roll of the Town of Ossining, which will be ordered pursuant to the Consent Judgment to be entered in accordance with the terms of this settlement, and the Receiver of Taxes is authorized and directed to process and pay the refund of Town of Ossining taxes estimated to be \$105.99, which will be ordered pursuant to said Consent Judgment.

Motion Carried: Unanimously

F.

TAX CERTIORARI

OSSINING LAND LLC,
vs.
TOWN OF OSSINING

Councilmember Harter moved and it was seconded by Councilmember Wilcher that the following be approved:

WHEREAS, proceedings pursuant to Article 7 of the Real Property Tax Law of the State of New York were instituted by Ossining Land LLC, against the Town of Ossining to review the tax assessments made on Petitioner's property located at State, James and Hunter Streets in the Town of Ossining, and designated on the tax assessment map of the Town of Ossining as Section 97.07, Block 2, Lot 17; Section 97.07, Block 2, Lot 18; Section 97.07-2-68/1101-9999 as set forth on Exhibits A and B, for assessment years 2008 and 2011, which proceedings are now pending in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of Westchester, under Index Nos. 21708/2008, 20210/2008, 16046/2011; and

WHEREAS, the above Petitioner has agreed to a compromise and settlement of such proceedings, subject to the approval of the Town Board, correcting and reducing the assessed valuation of its real property and improvements, as follows:

<u>Assessment Year</u>	<u>Assessment Reduced From: Reduced To: Amount of Reduction</u>
2008	See Attached Exhibit B
2011	See Attached Exhibit A

WHEREAS, any and all refunds necessitated by said settlement will be made without interest; and WHEREAS, the Town Board, upon the recommendation of the Assessor, concurred by the Town Attorney, finds the proposed settlement appropriate and in the best interest of the Town of Ossining; now therefore it is

RESOLVED, that settlement of the proceedings, on the terms set forth herein, is hereby accepted and approved, subject to the approval of the Supreme Court, Westchester County, wherein such proceedings are pending; and it is further

RESOLVED, that the Town Attorney is hereby authorized and directed to procure and execute any documents necessary to effectuate such settlement; and it is further

RESOLVED, subject to the approval of the Supreme Court, Westchester County, that the Assessor is authorized and directed to make the changes and corrections to the individual unit assessment on the tax assessment roll of the Town of Ossining, which will be ordered pursuant to the Consent Judgment to be entered in accordance with the terms of this settlement, and the Receiver of Taxes is authorized and directed to process and pay the refund of Town of Ossining taxes estimated to be \$4,132.29, which will be ordered pursuant to said Consent Judgment.

Motion Carried: Unanimously

VI. ADJOURNMENT-WORKSESSION

At 8:25 P.M. Councilmember moved and it was seconded by Councilmember Wilcher that the meeting be adjourned.

Motion Carried: Unanimously

Exhibit A

Ass. Year	Tax ID#	Current Assessment	Corrected Assessment	Difference
11	097.007-0002-068./1101	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1102	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1103	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1104	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1201	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1202	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1203	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1204	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1205	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1206	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1301	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1302	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1303	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1304	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1305	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1306	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1307	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1308	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1401	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1402	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1403	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1404	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1405	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1406	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1407	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1408	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1501	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1502	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1503	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1504	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1505	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1506	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1507	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1508	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1509	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1510	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1601	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1602	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1603	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1604	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1605	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1606	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1607	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1608	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1609	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./1610	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./2101	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./2102	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./2201	5,650	3,472	2,178

11	097.007-0002-068./2202	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./2301	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./2302	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./2401	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./2402	5,650	3,472	2,178
11	097.007-0002-068./9999	10	6	4
11	097.007-0002-017.	322,000	197,869	124,131
11	097.007-0002-018.	10,700	6,575	4,125
11	Totals:	637,810	391,938	245,872

Exhibit B

Ass. Year	Tax ID#	Current Assessment	Corrected Assessment	Difference
08	097.007-0002-068./1101	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1102	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1103	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1104	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1201	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1202	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1203	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1204	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1205	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1206	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1301	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1302	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1303	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1304	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1305	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1306	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1307	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1308	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1401	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1402	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1403	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1404	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1405	5,650	4,288	1,362

08	097.007-0002-068./1406	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1407	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1408	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1501	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1502	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1503	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1504	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1505	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1506	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1507	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1508	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1509	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1510	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1601	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1602	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1603	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1604	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1605	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1606	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1607	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1608	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1609	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./1610	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./2101	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./2102	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./2201	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./2202	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./2301	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./2302	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./2401	5,650	4,288	1,362
08	097.007-0002-068./2402	5,650	4,288	1,362

08	097.007-0002-068./9999	10	8	2
08	097.007-0002-017.	322,000	244,366	77,634
08	097.007-0002-018.	10,700	8,120	2,580
08	Totals:	637,810	484,046	153,764

Approved:

Mary Ann Roberts, Town Clerk